

IN THE MATTER OF)
) Case No. 98S00-0604-DI-140
PAUL GREGORY CROUSHORE)

- (1) The procedure was so lacking in notice or opportunity to be heard as to constitute a deprivation of due process;
- (2) There was such infirmity of proof establishing the misconduct as to give rise to the clear conviction that the Court could not, consistent with its duty, accept as final the conclusion on that subject;
- (3) The imposition of the same discipline by the Court would be inconsistent with standards governing sanctions in this rule or would result in grave injustice; or
- (4) The misconduct established warrants substantially different discipline in this state.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the respondent, Paul Gregory Croushore, is hereby suspended from the practice of law in this state, effective February 15, 2006, for a period of twelve (12) months, all stayed subject to respondent's compliance with the terms of his probation in Ohio for a period of two (2) years. Further, respondent is ORDERED to notify the Indiana Supreme Court Disciplinary Commission as soon as practicable of any matter affecting his Ohio discipline (including any violation of the terms of his probation in Ohio, whether or not such violation results in revocation of probation), and to provide the Commission with evidence satisfactory to it of his release from probation in Ohio.

The Clerk of this Court is directed to forward notice of this Order to the respondent or his attorney, to the Indiana Supreme Court Disciplinary Commission, to the clerk of the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit, to the clerk of each of the United States District Courts in this state, to the clerks of the United States Bankruptcy Courts in this state, to the Supreme Court of Ohio, and to all other entities pursuant to Admis.Disc.R. 23(3)(d), governing suspension.

DONE at Indianapolis, Indiana, this _____ day of July, 2006.

Acting Chief Justice of Indiana

All Justices concur.

If this Court determines that any of those elements exists, this Court shall enter such other order of discipline as it deems appropriate. The burden is on the party seeking different discipline in this state to demonstrate that the imposition of the same discipline is unwarranted.

(d) In all other aspects, a final adjudication in another jurisdiction that a lawyer has been guilty of misconduct shall establish conclusively the misconduct for purposes of a disciplinary proceeding in this state.